

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE PRESS

7th Edition

VOLUME 1

M. David Lepofsky

Storage

KE 4418 .L46 2017 v.1 Fall 2017





FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE PRESS

7th Edition

VOLUME 1

M. David Lepofsky



CASEBOOK 1

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1. INTRODUCTION	2
2. THIS CASEBOOK	5
3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	5
CHAPTER 2	
BASIC PRINCIPLES OF CHARTER INTERPRETATION	
1. INTRODUCTION	6
2. BASIC APPROACH TO CHARTER INTERPRETATION - THE ROLE OF TH	E COURTS9
Law Society of Upper Canada v. Skapinker, [1984] 1 S.C.R. 357	
Reference re. Section 94(2) of the Motor Vehicle Act, R.S.B.C. 1979, c. 288	
(B.C. Motor Vehicle Reference), [1985] 2 S.C.R. 486	
3. PURPOSIVE APPROACH TO THE CHARTER	12
Hunter v. Southam Inc., [1984] 2 S.C.R. 145	
4. UNCONSTITUTIONAL PURPOSE AND EFFECTS OF A LAW	
a) Purpose and effect in the adjudication of substantive rights-bearing provision	
R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd., [1985] 1 S.C.R. 295	
R. v. Jones, [1986] 2 S.C.R. 284	
b) Purpose and effect in adjudication under s. 1 of the Charter:	17
R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd., [1985] 1 S.C.R. 295	17
5. TEST FOR APPLYING SECTION 1	18
(a) Reasonable and Demonstrably Justified Limits	18
R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd., [1985] 1 S.C.R. 295	
R. v. Oakes, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103	
R. v. Edwards Books and Art Ltd., [1986] 2 S.C.R. 713	
(b) Elaborations on the Standard	
Irwin Toy Limited v. Quebec (Attorney General) [1989] 1 SCR 927	23
R. v. Chaulk [1990] 3 S.C.R. 1303 McKinney v University of Guelph [1990] 3 S.C.R. 229	25
Alberta v. Hutterian Brethren of Wilson Colony [2009] S.C.J. No. 37	20 23
Notes and Questions	
(c) Prescribed By Law	42
Re Ontario Film and Video Appreciation Society and Ontario Board of Censors (1983)	
(2d) 583	42
Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson [1989] 1 S.C.R. 1038	
R. v. Nova Scotia Pharmaceutical Society [1992] 2 S.C.R. 606	44
6. CONTEXTUAL AND NON-CONTEXTUAL APPROACHES TO CHARTER	
ADJUDICATION	47

	Edmonton Journal v. Alberta (Attorney General), [1989] 2 S.C.R. 1326	47
7.	STANDING TO SEEK CHARTER RELIEF	49
	R. v. Big M Drug Mart Ltd., [1985] 1 S.C.R. 295	
	Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (AG.), [1989] 1. S.C.R. 927	51
8.	APPLICATION OF CHARTER GOVERNMENT ACTION VERSUS PRIVATE A 53	CTION
	R.W.D.S.U v. Dolphin Delivery, [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573	54
	McKinney v. University of Guelph [1990] 3 S.C.R. 229	
	Hunter v. Southam [1984] 2 S.C.R. 145	
	R. v. Gayme; R. v. Seaboyer (1991) 83 D.L.R. (4th) 193 SCC	
	Schacter v. Canada (A.G.), (1992) 93 D.L.R. (4th) 1	
9.		
	Canada (Attorney General) v. Bedford [2013] S.C.J. No. 72	
	Notes and Questions	71
	CHAPTER 3	
	PRE-CHARTER CONSTITUTIONAL	
	PROTECTION FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION	
4		5 0
1.	INTRODUCTION	73
2.		
	Reference re Alberta Statutes, [1938] S.C.R. 100	
	Boucher v. The King, [1951] S.C.R. 265	
	Saumur v. Quebec, [1953] 2 S.C.R. 299 Switzman v. Elbling, [1957] S.C.R. 285	
	McKay v. the Queen, [1965] S.C.R. 798	
	Nova Scotia Board of Censors v. McNeil, [1978] 2 S.C.R. 662	
	AG. Canada and Dupond v. Montreal, [1978] 2 S.C.R. 770	
	AG. Canada v. Law Society of B.C.; Jabour v. Law Society of B.C. [1982] 2 S.C.R. 307	
	Notes and Questions	82
3.	THE CANADIAN BILL OF RIGHTS	84
	Notes and Questions	
	CHAPTER 4	
	PURPOSES AND FUNCTIONS OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION	
1.	INTRODUCTION	87
2.	LEARNED COMMENTARIES ON THE PURPOSES FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESS	SION88
	John Stuart Mill; On Liberty; (Middlesex: Penguin Books, 1985)	88
	Alexander Meiklejohn; Free Speech and Its Relation to Self-Government; (New York: Ken	nikat
	Press, 1972)	
	Thomas I. Emerson, "Toward a General Theory of the First Amendment", 72 Yale L.J. 877	-
	Vincent Blasi; "The Checking Value in First Amendment Theory" (1977) <i>American Bar</i>	94

	Foundation Research Journal 521	
	Notes and Questions	
3.	THE HOLMES CORRESPONDENCE	105
	Letter: Learned Hand to Oliver Wendell Holmes, June 22, 1918	
	Letter: Oliver Wendell Homes to Learned Hand, June 24, 1918	
	Letter: Oliver Wendell Homes to Harold Laski, July 7, 1918	
	Notes and Questions	
	JUDICIAL COMMENTARIES ON THE PURPOSES FOR FREEDOM OF EXPRESS 111 a) The Charter's Purposes Generally: R. v. Oakes, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103 D) The Purposes of Freedom of Expression: Abrams v. United States, 250 U.S. 616 (1919) Notes and Questions Reference re. Alberta Statutes [the Alberta Press case], [1938] S.C.R. 100 Notes and Questions R.W.D.S.U. v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd., [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573 Ford v. Quebec (AG.), [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712 Notes and Questions R. v. Keegstra [1996] 1 S.C.R. 458 Canada (AG.) v. Committee for the Commonwealth of Canada [1991] 1 S.C.R 139	111112114115116118121
,	CHAPTER 5 THE FREE SPEECH AND PRESS CLAUSES OF THE U.S. CONSTITU	ΓΙΟΝ
1.	INTRODUCTION	132
2.	INTRODUCTION TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTIONLaw Society of Upper Canada; Public Law Teaching Materials Bar Admission Course, 1 Chapter 19: "Litigating Charter Claims: Legal, Factual and Evidentiary Ammunition"	988-89,
3.	USING AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL JURISPRUDENCE IN CHARTER CASES M. David Lepofsky; Open Justice: The Constitutional Right to Attend and Speak About Co Proceedings; (Toronto: Butterworth's, 1985), Chapter 5: The Canadian Legal Position Charter of Rights	<i>urt</i> Jnder the
4.	THE EARLY YEARS EVOLVING THE CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGER TEST	139
	Schenck v. United States, 249 U.S. 47 (1919)	
	Abrams v. United States, 250 U.S. 616 (1919)	
	Whitney v. California, 47 S.Ct. 641 (1927)	
	Dennis v. United States, 341 U.S. 494 (1951)	
	Brandenberg v. Ohio 395 U.S. 444 (1968)	156
5.	CONTENT-NEUTRAL TIME, PLACE, AND MANNER RESTRICTIONS ON	
	EXPRESSION	
	Police Department of Chicago v. Mosley, 408 U.S. 92 (1972)	
6.		161

	Schneider v. State of New Jersey, 60 S.Ct. 146 (1940)	163
	United States v. O'Brien, 391 U.S. 367 (1968)	
7.	THE SPECIAL POSITION OF PRIOR RESTRAINTS ON EXPRESSION	167
	Near v. State of Minnesota, 51 S.Ct. 625 (1931)	
	Broadrick v. Oklahoma, 413 U.S. 601 (1973)	
	Notes and Questions	175
	CHAPTER 6	
	THE SCOPE OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION UNDER THE CHARTEI	
1.	INTRODUCTION	178
2.	GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION UNDER THE CHARTER.	
(a) The Supreme Court's First Endeavour	
	R.W.D.S.U., Local 580 v. Dolphin Delivery Ltd., [1986] 2 S.C.R. 573 Notes and Questions	
(b) The Supreme Court's Second Major Endeavour	
·	Ford v. Quebec (AG.), [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712	
(c) The Supreme Court's Most Comprehensive Endeavour	
	Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (AG.), [1989] 1 S.C.R. 927	
	Notes And Questions	
	Reference Re Section 193 and 195.1 (1) (c) of the Criminal Code (Manitoba) (the Prostitution Reference) [1990] 1 S.C.R. 1123	
3.	COMPELLED SPEECH A PRELIMINARY INQUIRY	202
	Slaight Communications Inc. v. Davidson, [1989] 1 S.C.R. 1038	
	Notes and Questions	
	Lavigne v. Ontario Public Service Employee Union et al. [1991] 2 S.C.R. 211	
	Notes and Questions	
4.	THE AMERICAN APPROACH TO THE PRIMA FACIE SCOPE OF FREEDOM OF	
	EXPRESSION	
(A) The Categorical Exclusion of Certain Messages from the First Amendment	
	Notes and Questions	
(B) The American Distinction Between Speech and Conduct	
	United States v. O'Brien, 391 U.S. 367 (1968)	
	Notes and Questions	
	Texas v. Johnson, 109 S.Ct. 2533 (1989)	
	Notes and Questions	
(C) The Severity of the Burden on Expression	
	Rust, et al., v. Sullivan, Secretary of Health and Human Services; New York, et al., Supreme C	
	of the United States 111 S. Ct. 1759; 1991 Notes and Questions	
_		
5.	FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE DEMOCRATIC/ELECTORAL PROCESS	
	Haig v. Canada; Canada (Chief Electoral Officer) [1993] 2 S.C.R. 995	
	Notes and Questions	
	- SIGHIGHS V. PIUHHLUUU [ALLUHHEY UEHEHUH, [4003] I S.G.N. U, 4003 366 3	4 T 1

	Notes and Questions	242
	Native Women's Assn. of Canada v. Canada [1994] 3 S.C.R. 627	243
	Notes and Questions	253
6.	WHAT'S IN A NAME?	254
o.	Walker v. Prince Edward Island [1995] 2 S.C.R. 407	
	Notes and Questions	
	Trotes and Questions	200
	CHAPTER 7	
	SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC EXPRESSION	
1.	INTRODUCTION	. 257
2.	NOTE: FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND THE OFFICIAL REGULATION OF THE	
	CONTENT OF EXPRESSION	. 258
2		
3.	CANADIAN LAW ON SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC SPEECH	
	Criminal Code, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-46	
	Notes and Questions	
4.	THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND SUBVERSIVE AND UNPATRIOTIC SPEECH	
	Cohen v. California, 403 U.S. 215 (1971)	
	Notes and Questions	268
	Texas v. Johnson, 109 S.Ct. 2533 (1989)	
	Notes and Questions	284
	CHAPTER 8	
	FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND NATIONAL SECURITY	
1.	INTRODUCTION	. 287
2.	BACKGROUND TO THE CANADIAN APPROACH	288
۷.	Freedom and Security under the Law, the Second Report of the Commission of Inquiry	. 200
	Concerning Certain Activities of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (August, 1981); Sumn	narv
	of Recommendations	-
	Canadian Security Intelligence Service Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-23	
3.	DOES FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION PROTECT VIOLENT EXPRESSION?	280
Э.	Suresh v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration), [2002] S.C.J. No. 3	
	R. v. Khawaja [2012] S.C.J. No. 69 (Supreme Court of Canada)	
	Notes and Questions	
		2 > 0
4.	PROHIBITING PUBLICATION OF MATERIALS THREATENING TO NATIONAL	200
	SECURITY	
	New York Times v. United States, 91 S.Ct. 2140 (1971)	
	U.S. v. Progressive Inc., 467 F.Supp 990 (W.D. Wis. 1979)	303
5.	DO SPIES HAVE FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION?	. 304
	Snepp v. U.S., 100 S.Ct. 763 (1980)	304

CHAPTER 9 FALSE AND DEFAMATORY EXPRESSION

1.	INTRODUCTION	307
2.	CANADIAN LEGISLATION	
	Libel and Slander Act, R.S.O. 1980 c. 237	
3.	U.S POSITION UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT	
	New York Times Co. v. Sullivan 376 U.S. 254 (1964)	314
	Notes and Questions	
	Hustler Magazine v. Falwell 108 S.Ct. 876 (1988)	
	Notes and Questions	325
4.	THE CANADIAN APPROACH	327
	Notes and Questions	
•	The Charter and Criminal Proceedings Over Questions of Truth and Falsehood:	
	R. v. Zundel [1992] 2 S.C.R. 731	
	Notes and Questions	
	Hill v. Church of Scientology of Toronto and Manning Supreme Court of Canada, unreport	
	released July 20, 1995	
	Notes And Questions	
	R. v. Lucas [1998] 1 S.C.R. 439	
	Gilles E. Néron Communication Marketing Inc. v.Chambre des notaires du Québec, [2004]	•
	50	
	Notes and Questions	
	WIC Radio Ltd. v. Simpson, 2008 SCC 40, [2008] S.C.J. No. 41	
	Notes and Questions	
	Grant v. Torstar Corp. [2009] S.C.J. No. 61 (SCC)	
	Notes and Questions	
	Bou Malhab v. Diffusion Métromédia CMR Inc. [2011] S.C.J. No. 9	
	Crookes v. Newton [2011] S.C.J. No. 47	
	Notes and Questions	441
	CHAPTER 10	
	CORPORATE AND COMMERCIAL EXPRESSION	
1.	INTRODUCTION	443
2.	WHO CAN ASSERT SECTION 2(B) CLAIMS	
	Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission 558 U.S. 1 (2010) (U.S. Supreme Court)	
	Alberta (Information and Privacy Commissioner) v United Food and Commercial Worke	
	401 [2013] S.C.J. No. 62 (Supreme Court of Canada)	
	Notes and Questions	457
3.	COMMERCIAL EXPRESSION AND SECTION 2(b)	458
	Ford v. Quebec (AG.), [1988], 2 S.C.R. 712	
	Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (AG.), [1989] 1 S.C.R. 927	

	Notes and Questions	465
4.	PERMISSIBLE LIMITATIONS UNDER SECTION 1	468
a) Regulating the Use Of Languages Other Than French on Commercial Signs in Quebec	.468
	Ford v. A.G. Quebec [1988] 2 S.C.R. 712	468
	Notes and Questions	471
b	Regulation of Advertising Aimed at Children:	472
	Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (AG.), [1989] 1 S.C.R. 927	472
	Notes and Questions	480
C	Regulation of Professional Advertising:	481
	Rocket v. Royal College of Dental Surgeons of Ontario, [1990] 2 S.C.R. 232	481
	Notes and Questions	486
	Peel v. Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission Of Illinois, 109 S.Ct. 3240 (1989)	490
	Notes and Questions	497
Ċ	l) Regulation of 'Counter-Advertising'	
	R. v. Guignard, [2002] S.C.J. No. 16	498
5.	RESTRICTIONS ON SOLICITATION OF HARMFUL OR IMMORAL CONDUCT	. 502
	Reference Re ss. 193 and 195.1(1)(c) of the Criminal Code (Man.), [1990] 1 S.C.R. 1123	502
	Notes and Questions	
	Canada (Attorney General) v. Bedford [2013] S.C.J. No. 72	
	Notes and Questions	
	RJR - MacDonald Inc. v. Canada (Attorney General), Supreme Court of Canada, unreported,	
	released Sept. 21 1995	518
	Notes And Questions	568
	Canada (Attorney General) v. JTI-Macdonald Corp. [2007] S.C.J. No. 30	570
	Notes and Questions	588
	Posadas de Puerto Rico Associates v. Tourism Co. of Puerto Rico, 106 S. Ct. 2968 (1986)	590
	Notes and Questions	593

